



An Daras Multi Academy Trust

St Catherine's C of E school

EYFS SEF

Completed by: by Amy Elson

May 2017

St Catherine's C of E school		Review (June 17)
Early Years Foundation Stage - Judgements		
Achievement	<p>Achievement in the EYFS at St Catherine's is good because:</p> <p>Children on average enter the Foundation Stage at St Catherine's at average ability and improve to in line with national expectations.</p> <p>2017</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ 71% of cohort achieved GLD this should be inline with or above Cornwall and National GLD. ✓ 1% gender gap in achievement of GLD. Girls 72%, Boys 71%. ✓ All PPG pupils achieved GLD. <p>Further action for 2017/18 cohort</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ To increase the number of summer born pupils achieving GLD to in line with Autumn and Spring born pupils <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Autumn born pupils in 2017 cohort achieved – 86% GLD ○ Spring born pupils in 2017 cohort achieved – 82% GLD ○ Summer born pupils in 2017 cohort achieved – 50% GLD <p>2016</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The 2016 data showed that 67% of pupils achieved GLD. This is in line with Cornwall at 67% and below National at 69.3%. • During the 2015-16 year there were many staff changes with the initial teacher going off sick and being covered by a supply followed by and internal supply. The recently retired year F teacher came back to fill in for a term and then a second temporary teacher was employed for a term. This was very disruptive for the children. Megan has now been employed as a new full time teacher. • The 2015-16 cohort has 30 pupils and is a 16 girl/14 boy split with 8 EAL children. • 7 of the pupils are summer born. 2 of which did not receive GLD, 1 of which was also EAL • 4 of the pupil are Pupil Premium Children, all of which achieved GLD. • Megan Swan is new to St Catherine's but has experience teaching in a foundation class. She has been observed teaching and is graded as good. Megan has worked on the improvements suggested after each observation. Megan has been off on long term sick for much of the year. Julia Pollard has team taught in Foundation to maintain consistency for the children along with a temporary supply. Julia has been responsible for the data collection in December and April. • Megan and Julia moderate internally with the Key Stage 1 team and across the ADMAT with the other Foundation Stage Teachers and Pre-school setting. Julia has attended all moderation across the admat to support her judgements. 	<p>Impact of actions to date:</p> <p>Achievement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Megan and Julia will be job sharing across Nursery and Foundation stage from September 2017. <p>Progress</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ GLD is in line with Cornwall. <p>Further actions:</p> <p>Achievement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ To try and improve GLD to in line with National. <p>Progress</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ To increase the number of summer born pupils achieving GLD in cohort.

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Book scrutinise have been carried out by the Senior Leadership Team on the Foundation Stage Learning Journeys and classroom books.• Planning is Objective Led with the key groups of children highlighted on the plans to ensure that all adults working in the classroom are aware of the targeted pupils. | |
|--|--|--|

2016-17 Data

2016-17
Based on 30 pupils in the cohort.

2016-2017 on **entry** data (October) showed that on average:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics	
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM
16-26m	0%	4%	4%	0%	4%	4%	0%	4%	0%	4%	0%	0%
22-36m	0%	0%	0%	11%	7%	7%	7%	4%	0%	4%	4%	4%
30-50m	43%	57%	68%	71%	75%	64%	61%	18%	68%	61%	29%	50%
40-60m	57%	39%	29%	18%	14%	25%	32%	75%	29%	32%	68%	46%

Since September the class Teacher Miss Swan has been off on long term sick leave. This has been covered by a long term supply and release time by Julia Pollard the experienced Nursery Teacher who has undertaken the assessment. As of March Miss Swan is still off sick.

12 girls /17 boys

2016-2017 **December** data showed that on average:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics	
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM
16-26m	0%	3%	3%	0%	0%	3%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%
22-36m	0%	0%	0%	3%	3%	0%	3%	0%	0%	3%	3%	3%
30-50m	14%	21%	21%	17%	24%	21%	3%	0%	14%	21%	3%	14%
40-60m	86%	76%	76%	79%	72%	76%	93%	97%	86%	76%	93%	83%

2016-2017 **April** data showed that on average:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics	
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM
16-26m		4%	4%	0%	0%	4%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%
22-36m		0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
30-50m	4%	4%	4%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	7%	7%	7%
40-60 e	11%	7%	11%	19%	26%	26%	11%	7%	26%	22%	11%	30%
40-60 d	56%	70%	52%	37%	30%	48%	48%	48%	44%	48%	59%	56%
40-60 s	30%	15%	30%	37%	41%	22%	37%	37%	26%	22%	22%	7%

April
27 pupils in cohort (+2)
(2 pupils JBL and AG both girls have no I track data on system so have been de aggregated.

Impact of actions to date:

- HP (Spring born) very low on entry. Will need intervention to make progress.
- AL (EAL) also low ability will need intervention for Communication and language so she can access the curriculum.

- Cohort has made progress despite the difficulty with staffing. Between 2 and 3 pupils in 30-50 month band with HP still significantly below age related expectations.
- PSED and CL is a priority for the cohort.

- From I track data 2 pupils AG and JBL have no data to analyse so I have removed them from the data set. Making the cohort total 27.
- In order to show progress I have broken the 40-60m band into emerging, developing, secure. This should help to show progress over the term.
- Aprox 60% of cohort on track to get GLD. Aiming for 67+%

July

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics	
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM
Emerging	19%	19%	7%	15%	15%	15%	11%	11%	25%	29%	25%	29%
Expected	81%	81%	93%	78%	78%	78%	86%	86%	64%	64%	64%	71%
Exceeding	0%	0%	0%	7%	7%	7%	4%	4%	11%	7%	11%	0%

(this is based on those developing and secure 40-60m achieving ELG in prime and core areas.

- ✓ 71% GLD across cohort.
- ✓ 4 pupils expected to be in Cornwall's lowest 20%

October PPG
2 pupils

PPG on entry data (October) showed that on average:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics	
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM
30-50m	100%	0%	100%	50%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
40-60m	0%	100%	0%	50%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

➤ PPG PSED a priority.

October Non-PPG

Non PPG on entry data (October) showed that on average:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics	
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM
16-26m	0%	4%	7%	0%	4%	4%	0%	4%	0%	4%	0%	0%
22-36m	0%	0%	0%	12%	8%	8%	8%	4%	0%	4%	4%	4%
30-50m	54%	62%	65%	69%	73%	69%	62%	19%	73%	65%	31%	54%
40-60m	46%	35%	31%	19%	15%	19%	31%	73%	27%	27%	65%	42%

- Non PPG is lower than PPG.
- HP (Spring born) very low on entry. Will need intervention to make progress.

December PPG

PPG December Data showed that on average:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics	
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM
30-50m	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
40-60m	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

- PPG all at age related expectations.

December Non-PPG

Non PPG **December Data** showed that on average:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics	
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM
16-26m	0%	4%	4%	0%	0%	4%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%
22-36m	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	0%	4%	0%	0%	4%	4%	4%
30-50m	15%	22%	22%	19%	19%	22%	4%	0%	15%	22%	4%	15%
40-60m	85%	74%	74%	78%	70%	74%	93%	96%	85%	74%	93%	81%

April PPG
1 pupil
(one additional has no data on I Track)

PPG **April Data** showed that on average:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics	
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM
40-60 e	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
40-60 d	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
40-60 s	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

April Non-PPG
26 pupils
(one additional has no data on I Track)

Non PPG **April Data** showed that on average:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics	
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM
16-26m	0%	4%	4%	0%	0%	4%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%
22-36m	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
30-50m	4%	4%	4%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	8%	8%	8%
40-60 e	12%	8%	12%	19%	27%	27%	12%	8%	27%	23%	12%	31%
40-60 d	54%	69%	50%	38%	31%	46%	46%	85%	42%	46%	58%	54%
40-60 s	31%	15%	31%	35%	38%	23%	38%	4%	27%	23%	23%	8%

PPG

Age related banding	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics	

- Non PPG making good progress with majority at age related expectations.
- HP still very low ability. Has a case study been written to explain his specific learning needs?
- Based on 1 pupil (one other pupil JBL has no data on I track to analyse?)
- ✓ One PPG pupil BL likely to achieve GLD in July.
- ✓ Aprox 60% on track to achieve GLD if continued progress is made.
- 2 pupils HP (Male) and PW (Female) very unlikely to achieve ELG at this point.
- Other pupils who may not achieve GLD include JA (M), PB (M), GC (M), OH (M) LK (M), AL (F), JM (M) KN (F), LTJ (M) and RT (F). These are still emerging 40-60 in many prime and core areas.
- ✓ One PPG pupils achieve GLD.

July PPG

1 Pupil

	on entry	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM
ELG	Emerging	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Expected	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	Exceeding	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Non PPG

July Non PPG

27 pupils

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning									Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL			PD			Literacy		Mathematics	
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM	
ELG	Emerging	19%	19%	8%	15%	15%	15%	11%	11%	26%	30%	26%	30%
	Expected	81%	81%	92%	77%	77%	77%	85%	85%	63%	63%	63%	70%
	Exceeding	0%	0%	0%	8%	8%	8%	4%	4%	11%	7%	11%	0%

✓ 70.4 % of non PPG achieved GLD

October Girls

12

Girls on entry data (October) showed that on average:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning									Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL			PD			Literacy		Mathematics	
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM	
16-26m	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
22-36m	0%	0%	0%	17%	17%	17%	8%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	
30-50m	67%	58%	75%	58%	66%	50%	59%	25%	67%	59%	33%	42%	
40-60m	33%	42%	25%	25%	17%	33%	33%	75%	33%	33%	67%	58%	

➤ Girls weaker in communication and language than boys. (AL and KN both EAL)

Boys

16

Boys on entry data (October) showed that on average:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning									Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL			PD			Literacy		Mathematics	
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM	
16-26m	0%	6%	6%	0%	6%	6%	0%	6%	0%	6%	0%	0%	
22-36m	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	6%	6%	0%	0%	6%	6%	
30-50m	56%	56%	63%	81%	81%	75%	63%	13%	69%	63%	25%	25%	
40-60m	44%	38%	31%	13%	13%	19%	31%	75%	31%	31%	69%	69%	

December
Girls 12

Girls **December** data showed that on average:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics	
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM
16-26m	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
22-36m	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%
30-50m	8%	25%	25%	25%	25%	17%	0%	0%	25%	25%	8%	25%
40-60m	92%	75%	75%	75%	75%	83%	100%	100%	75%	75%	92%	75%

Boys 17

Boys **December** data showed that on average:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics	
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM
16-26m	0%	6%	6%	0%	0%	6%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%
22-36m	0%	0%	0%	6%	6%	0%	6%	0%	0%	6%	6%	6%
30-50m	18%	18%	18%	12%	24%	24%	6%	0%	6%	18%	0%	6%
40-60m	82%	76%	76%	82%	71%	71%	88%	94%	94%	76%	94%	88%

April
Girls 10 (+2)
(+2 pupils JBL and AG have no I track data)

Girls **April** data showed that on average:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics	
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM
30-50m	0%	10%	10%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	10%	10%	10%
40-60 e	20%	0%	0%	30%	30%	30%	20%	10%	30%	20%	10%	30%
40-60 d	50%	60%	50%	20%	30%	30%	10%	80%	20%	30%	50%	50%
40-60 s	30%	30%	40%	40%	40%	40%	70%	10%	40%	40%	30%	10%

Boys 17

Boys **April** data showed that on average:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics	
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM
16-26m	0%	6%	6%	0%	0%	6%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%

- Girls still weaker than boys in Specific areas (de-aggregating HP) 3 pupils (25%) in 30-50m.
- PSED, Communication and Language still below average with 4 boys (24%) and 3 girls (25%) below age related expectations. What is being put in place in the curriculum to target the acquisition of these skills.

- PW unlikely to achieve GLD at this time. 30-50m in most prime and specific areas.
- FL and AL both emerging 40-60m will not achieve ELG without interventions.

- HP will not achieve ELG in any area.
- JA, PB, GC and OH also unlikely to achieve ELG in some prime

22-36m	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
30-50m	6%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	6%	6%	6%
40-60 e	6%	12%	18%	12%	24%	24%	6%	6%	24%	24%	12%	29%	29%
40-60 d	59%	76%	53%	47%	29%	59%	71%	88%	59%	59%	65%	59%	59%
40-60 s	29%	6%	24%	35%	41%	12%	18%	0%	18%	12%	18%	6%	6%

July Girls

July Girls
11 Girls

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning									Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL			PD			Literacy		Mathematics	
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC		R	W	N	SSM
Emerging	18%	18%	9%	18%	18%	18%	9%	9%		27%	27%	27%	27%
Expected	82%	82%	91%	64%	64%	64%	82	82		64%	64%	64%	73%
Exceeding	0%	0%	0%	18%	18%	18%	9%	9%		9%	9%	9%	0%

July Boys

July Boys
17 boys

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning									Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL			PD			Literacy		Mathematics	
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC		R	W	N	SSM
Emerging	19%	19%	6%	13%	13%	13%	12%	12%		24%	29%	24%	29%
Expected	81%	81%	94%	88%	88%	88%	88%	88%		65%	65%	65%	71%
Exceeding	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		12%	6%	12%	0%

Summer born pupils **April** data showed that on average:

Summer born's
April
9 pupils
(+1 pupils JBL no I track data)
5 boys/4 girls

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning									Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL			PD			Literacy		Mathematics	
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC		R	W	N	SSM
30-50m	0%	11%	11%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%		11%	11%	11%	11%
40-60 e	33%	11%	11%	44%	56%	56%	33%	22%		44%	33%	22%	56%
40-60 d	44%	78%	67%	44%	33%	33%	44%	78%		44%	56%	67%	33%
40-60 s	22%	0%	11%	0%	11%	11%	22%	0%		00%	0%	0%	0%

and specific areas without targeted intervention as only 40-60m emerging.

✓ 72% of Girls achieved GLD

✓ 71% of boys achieved GLD

- PW will not achieve ELG at this time as still 30-50 in majority of prime and specific areas.
- Aprox 44-78% of summer born's on track to achieve GLD based on those achieving 40-60 developing and secure.

Summer
Born's July
10 pupils

July Summer Born's

	Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning			
		PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics	
		SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM
ELG	Emerging	40%	40%	10%	33%	33%	33%	20%	20%	50%	50%	50%	50%
	Expected	60%	60%	90%	67%	67%	67%	80%	80%	50%	50%	50%	50%
	Exceeding	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

✓ 50% of summer borns achieved GLD

2015-16 final data

Based on 30 pupils in the cohort.

The 2015-16 on **exit** data shows that on average the % of pupils achieving at least the ELG:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning			Specific Areas of Learning	
	PSED	CL	PD	Literacy	Mathematics
Emerging	13%	11%	12%	22%	25%
ELG	86%	79%	70%	65%	72%
Exceeding	1%	10%	18%	13%	3%

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning						Specific Areas of Learning					
	PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics	
	SCSA	MFB	MR	LA	U	S	PD	HSC	R	W	N	SSM
School	87%	90%	83%	87%	90%	90%	90%	87%	83%	73%	73%	77%
Cornwall	89%	87%	90%	87%	87%	87%	90%	92%	78%	71%	78%	83%
National	87%	86%	87%	84%	84%	82%	89%	90%	74%	67%	74%	79%

2015-2016 Baseline entry
26 pupils in the cohort

2015-2016 on **entry** data (October) showed that on average:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning			Specific Areas of Learning	
	PSED	CL	PD	Literacy	Mathematics
30-50m	48%	72%	10%	62%	40%
40-60m	52%	28%	90%	38%	60%

- This data shows that on average pupils enter St Catherine's at average or just below.

30 pupils in the cohort

End of Spring term data showed progress on average:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning			Specific Areas of Learning	
	PSED	CL	PD	Literacy	Mathematics
22-36m	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%
30-50m	6%	7%	3%	3%	3%
40-60m	83%	83%	94%	89%	87%
ELG	11%	8%	3%	8%	10%

End of Summer term data showed progress on average:

Age related banding	Prime Areas of Learning			Specific Areas of Learning	
	PSED	CL	PD	Literacy	Mathematics

Impact of actions to date:

- ✓ Mathematics weaker area with 25% not achieving ELG for Number or shape.
- ✓ Literacy also weaker. With writing being weaker than reading. Writing 27% not achieving GLD. Reading 17% not achieving GLD.

Impact of actions to date:

- ✓ Physical development is strength of the cohort.
- ✓ Literacy appears to be a weakness with more pupils achieving 30-50m.

Progress

- ✓ 4 new pupils entered the cohort this term. 1 EAL who has entered in the 22-36m bracket.
- ✓ Progress in Literacy with 19% at 40-60 and 8% achieving ELG mostly in reading.

Impact of actions to date:

- Majority of pupils achieved the ELG in all the prime areas and specific areas of learning.

on entry	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM
22-36m	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
30-50m	3%	3%	3%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	3%	0%
40-60m	3%	0%	3%	3%	0%	0%	3%	3%	3%	14%	10%	7%
ELG	55%	79%	83%	62%	48%	79%	55%	52%	59%	66%	55%	86%
Exceeding	38%	17%	10/5	34%	48%	17%	41%	45%	34%	21%	31%	7%

PPG
Based on 4
pupils

2015-16 **On Entry** (October) data % of PPG compared to non PPG achieving:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning						Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED		CL		PD		Literacy		Mathematics	
	PPG	Non PPG	PPG	Non PPG	PPG	Non PPG	PPG	Non PPG	PPG	Non PPG
30-50m	0%	5%	17%	9%	0%	3%	0%	10%	0%	9%
40-60m	100%	95%	83%	91%	100%	97%	100%	90%	100%	91%

Progress:

- PPG pupils weakest in Communication and Language.

2015-16 **End of Spring Term** data % of PPG compared to non PPG achieving:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning						Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED		CL		PD		Literacy		Mathematics	
	PPG	Non PPG	PPG	Non PPG	PPG	Non PPG	PPG	Non PPG	PPG	Non PPG
22-36m	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
30-50m	0%	7%	0%	7%	0%	4%	0%	4%	0%	4%
40-60m	75%	83%	83%	84%	75%	96%	12%	88%	12%	86%
ELG	25%	10%	17%	7%	25%	0%	88%	9%	88%	11%

**5 PPG summer
term**

2015-16 **End of Summer Term** data % of PPG:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning							Specific Areas of Learning				
	PSED			CL		PD		Literacy		Mathematics		
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM
ELG	80%	100%	100%	80%	40%	100%	60%	60%	80%	80%	60%	100%
Exceeding	20%	0%	0%	20%	60%	0%	40%	40%	20%	20%	40%	0%

Impact of actions to date:

- ✓ All PPG pupils achieved GLD

**Non PPG
summer term**

2015-16 **End of Summer Term** data % of Non PPG:

Age	Prime Areas of Learning	Specific Areas of Learning
-----	-------------------------	----------------------------

related banding on entry	PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics	
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM
22-36m	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
30-50m	5%	5%	5%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	5%	0%
40-60m	5%	0%	5%	5%	0%	0%	5%	5%	5%	14%	9%	9%
ELG	45%	73%	77%	55%	45%	73%	55%	45%	50%	64%	55%	82%
Exceeding	45%	23%	14%	41%	50%	23%	41%	50%	41%	23%	32%	9%

Boys and Girls
16 girl/14 boy

2015-16 **On Entry** (October) data % of boys compared to girls achieving:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning						Specific Areas of Learning				
	PSED			CL		PD	Literacy		Mathematics		
	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	
22-36m	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
30-50m	7%	3%	7%	15%	0%	4%	4%	11%	7%	8%	
40-60m	93%	97%	93%	85%	100%	96%	96%	89%	93%	92%	

2015-16 **End of Spring Term** data % of boys compared to girls achieving:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning						Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL		PD	Literacy		Mathematics	
	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys
22.36m	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
30-50m	6%	5%	4%	9%	6%	0%	6%	0%	6%	0%
40-60m	73%	95%	82%	86%	88%	100%	82%	97%	82%	93%
ELG	21%	0%	10%	5%	6%	0%	13%	3%	13%	7%

Boys – summer
13 pupils

2015-16 **End of Summer Term** data % of boys achieving:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning						Specific Areas of Learning					
	PSED			CL			PD	Literacy		Mathematics		
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM
40-60m	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	15%	15%	0%
ELG	62%	85%	100%	77%	46%	92%	69%	62%	62%	62%	54%	100%
Exceeding	38%	15%	0%	23%	54%	8%	31%	38%	38%	23%	31%	0%

Impact of actions to date:

- Boys weaker in CL and Literacy.

Impact of actions to date:

- ✓ Boys slightly weaker than girls in Specific areas of English and Mathematics.

**Girls - Summer
16 pupils**

2015-16 **End of Summer Term** data % of girls achieving:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics	
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM
22-36m	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
30-50m	6%	6%	6%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%	6%	0%
40-60m	6%	0%	6%	6%	0%	0%	6%	6%	6%	13%	6%	13%
ELG	50%	75%	69%	50%	50%	69%	44%	44%	56%	69%	56%	75%
Exceeding	38%	19%	19%	44%	44%	25%	50%	50%	31%	19%	31%	13%

✓ One pupil girl EAL very low speaking

EAL Pupils
4 EAL pupils started in September.

2015-2016 **EAL** data (October) showed that on average:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning			Specific Areas of Learning	
	PSED	CL	PD	Literacy	Mathematics
30-50m	75%	92%	0%	50%	50%
40-60m	25%	8%	100%	50%	50%

4 more arrived in the Spring term.

End of Spring term **EAL** data showed progress on average:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning			Specific Areas of Learning	
	PSED	CL	PD	Literacy	Mathematics
22-36m	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%
30-50m	21%	21%	13%	13%	13%
40-60m	71%	67%	87%	75%	75%
ELG	8%	4%	0%	12%	12%

Total EAL 8 pupils 27% of Cohort.

End of Summer term data showed progress on average:

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning			Specific Areas of Learning	
	PSED	CL	PD	Literacy	Mathematics
22-36m	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%
30-50m	21%	4%	0%	6%	6%
40-60m	4%	12%	13%	31%	25%
ELG	50%	55%	62%	31%	50%

Impact of actions to date:

- The additional 4 EAL pupils that joined over the first term has had a massive impact on the data.
- One pupil (AN) a girl has very low levels of achievement and will need some specific intervention in September to ensure progress is made

Nursery - Judgements											Review																																																																																													
Data taken from I track. 2016 Cohort 23 pupils	2016 cohort was 23 pupils, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 2 of which were pupils premium, 1 girl and 1 boy. ➤ 9 are girls , 13 are boys 												<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vast majority of pupils 30-50m+ which is positive for Foundation stage 2016-17. • PSED weakest area with majority in 30-50m bracket. • HP is very low achieving in the 16-26m bracket for lots of the Prime areas of learning. He is Spring Born. Monitoring or Intervention may need to be put in place for Autumn 2016. 																																																																																											
	July data shows that																																																																																																							
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="3">Age related banding on entry</th> <th colspan="8">Prime Areas of Learning</th> <th colspan="4">Specific Areas of Learning</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="3">PSED</th> <th colspan="3">CL</th> <th colspan="2">PD</th> <th colspan="2">Literacy</th> <th colspan="2">Mathematics</th> </tr> <tr> <th>SC</th> <th>MF</th> <th>MR</th> <th>LA</th> <th>U</th> <th>S</th> <th>MH</th> <th>HSC</th> <th>R</th> <th>W</th> <th>N</th> <th>SSM</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>16-26m</td> <td>0%</td> <td>5%</td> <td>5%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>5%</td> <td>5%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>5%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>22-36m</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>5%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>5%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>5%</td> <td>5%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>30-50m</td> <td>59%</td> <td>50%</td> <td>64%</td> <td>77%</td> <td>82%</td> <td>68%</td> <td>55%</td> <td>9%</td> <td>68%</td> <td>64%</td> <td>14%</td> <td>41%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>40-60m</td> <td>41%</td> <td>45%</td> <td>32%</td> <td>18%</td> <td>14%</td> <td>27%</td> <td>41%</td> <td>86%</td> <td>32%</td> <td>32%</td> <td>82%</td> <td>55%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>															Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning				PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics		SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM	16-26m	0%	5%	5%	0%	5%	5%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	22-36m	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	5%	30-50m	59%	50%	64%	77%	82%	68%	55%	9%	68%	64%	14%	41%	40-60m	41%	45%	32%	18%	14%	27%	41%	86%	32%	32%	82%	55%
Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning																																																																																															
	PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics																																																																																													
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM																																																																																												
16-26m	0%	5%	5%	0%	5%	5%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%																																																																																												
22-36m	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	5%	5%																																																																																												
30-50m	59%	50%	64%	77%	82%	68%	55%	9%	68%	64%	14%	41%																																																																																												
40-60m	41%	45%	32%	18%	14%	27%	41%	86%	32%	32%	82%	55%																																																																																												
Girls (9 pupils)	July data shows that Girls												<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Girls are stronger than boys in the prime and specific areas. 1 boy very low ability across the board. 																																																																																											
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="3">Age related banding on entry</th> <th colspan="8">Prime Areas of Learning</th> <th colspan="4">Specific Areas of Learning</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="3">PSED</th> <th colspan="3">CL</th> <th colspan="2">PD</th> <th colspan="2">Literacy</th> <th colspan="2">Mathematics</th> </tr> <tr> <th>SC</th> <th>MF</th> <th>MR</th> <th>LA</th> <th>U</th> <th>S</th> <th>MH</th> <th>HSC</th> <th>R</th> <th>W</th> <th>N</th> <th>SSM</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>16-26m</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>22-36m</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>30-50m</td> <td>56%</td> <td>44%</td> <td>67%</td> <td>67%</td> <td>78%</td> <td>56%</td> <td>44%</td> <td>11%</td> <td>56%</td> <td>56%</td> <td>11%</td> <td>22%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>40-60m</td> <td>44%</td> <td>56%</td> <td>33%</td> <td>33%</td> <td>22%</td> <td>44%</td> <td>56%</td> <td>89%</td> <td>44%</td> <td>44%</td> <td>89%</td> <td>78%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>															Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning				PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics		SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM	16-26m	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	22-36m	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	30-50m	56%	44%	67%	67%	78%	56%	44%	11%	56%	56%	11%	22%	40-60m	44%	56%	33%	33%	22%	44%	56%	89%	44%	44%	89%	78%
	Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning																																																																																														
PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics																																																																																														
SC		MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM																																																																																												
16-26m	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%																																																																																												
22-36m	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%																																																																																												
30-50m	56%	44%	67%	67%	78%	56%	44%	11%	56%	56%	11%	22%																																																																																												
40-60m	44%	56%	33%	33%	22%	44%	56%	89%	44%	44%	89%	78%																																																																																												
Boys (13 pupils)	July data shows that Boys																																																																																																							
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="3">Age related banding on entry</th> <th colspan="8">Prime Areas of Learning</th> <th colspan="4">Specific Areas of Learning</th> </tr> <tr> <th colspan="3">PSED</th> <th colspan="3">CL</th> <th colspan="2">PD</th> <th colspan="2">Literacy</th> <th colspan="2">Mathematics</th> </tr> <tr> <th>SC</th> <th>MF</th> <th>MR</th> <th>LA</th> <th>U</th> <th>S</th> <th>MH</th> <th>HSC</th> <th>R</th> <th>W</th> <th>N</th> <th>SSM</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>16-26m</td> <td>0%</td> <td>8%</td> <td>8%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>8%</td> <td>8%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>8%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>8%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>22-36m</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>8%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>8%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>8%</td> <td>8%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>30-50m</td> <td>62%</td> <td>54%</td> <td>62%</td> <td>85%</td> <td>85%</td> <td>77%</td> <td>62%</td> <td>8%</td> <td>77%</td> <td>69%</td> <td>15%</td> <td>54%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>40-60m</td> <td>38%</td> <td>38%</td> <td>31%</td> <td>8%</td> <td>8%</td> <td>15%</td> <td>31%</td> <td>85%</td> <td>23%</td> <td>23%</td> <td>77%</td> <td>38%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>												Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning				PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics		SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM	16-26m	0%	8%	8%	0%	8%	8%	0%	8%	0%	8%	0%	0%	22-36m	0%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	8%	8%	30-50m	62%	54%	62%	85%	85%	77%	62%	8%	77%	69%	15%	54%	40-60m	38%	38%	31%	8%	8%	15%	31%	85%	23%	23%	77%	38%			
	Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning																																																																																														
PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics																																																																																														
SC		MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM																																																																																												
16-26m	0%	8%	8%	0%	8%	8%	0%	8%	0%	8%	0%	0%																																																																																												
22-36m	0%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	8%	8%																																																																																												
30-50m	62%	54%	62%	85%	85%	77%	62%	8%	77%	69%	15%	54%																																																																																												
40-60m	38%	38%	31%	8%	8%	15%	31%	85%	23%	23%	77%	38%																																																																																												

PPG

July PPG data shows that (2 pupils)

Age related banding on entry	Prime Areas of Learning								Specific Areas of Learning			
	PSED			CL			PD		Literacy		Mathematics	
	SC	MF	MR	LA	U	S	MH	HSC	R	W	N	SSM
22-36m	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
30-50m	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
40-60m	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%	50%	500%	100%	100%	100%	100%

- PPG pupils are on track to achieve GLD at end of Foundation.

<p>Quality of Teaching</p>	<p>The Quality of Teaching in the EYFS at St Catherine’s is now good because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Megan Swan and Julia Pollard are job sharing in Nursery and Foundation stage. • Amy Elson has been employed to lead EYFS over the academy this will provide help and support to Megan. • Children are making good progress over the year moving from slightly/significantly below their age related expectations to achieving the ELG. • Megan works alongside Julia Pollard who is an experienced teacher Early Years Teacher. • Liz Davy leads the KS1 and EYFS team. She is also a member of the SLT. • The EYFS team moderate internally, across KS1, externally with the ADMAT and through County moderation. • Book scrutinise are carried out by SLT and key stage. Books are kept well and are well organised. 	<p>Impact of actions to date:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Megan Swan employed to teach 2016-17 <p>Further Actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Moderation broken down into pre-school and Foundation for Autumn and Spring term joining together again in Summer 2017.
<p>Behaviour and Safety</p>	<p>The Behaviour and Safety of pupils in the EYFS at St Catherine’s is Good because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pupils have a good attitude to learning and want to learn. They are keen to show what they have learnt and contribute fully to lessons. • Pupils have worked with the class teacher to make the class rules which they follow well. • Pupils are clear on the rules and expectations of the setting both inside and outside. • Pupils develop their independent learning skill through well planned and resourced continuous provision. • Behaviour is consistently good. Pupils work in small groups and independently from a young age and are able to stay at and complete learning tasks with minimal adult intervention. • Pupils show respect for the adults, each other and the toys in the classroom and take care to look after each other. 	
<p>Leadership and Management</p>	<p>Leadership and Management of the EYFS at St Catherine’s is Good because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amy Elson leads the EYFS across the ADMAT and works with the class teacher and SLT to ensure that the EYFS is lead well. • Pupils progress in Foundation stage is tracked 12 weekly and this is used to set challenging targets. 	

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Pupils Learning Journals and progress is moderated internally across KS1 and Foundation stage, across the academy with the EYFS teachers across the ADMAT.• Curriculum planning is child led and need driven. Areas of learning are monitored using objective led planning that helps all the adults working in the class to know pupils current levels of attainment and next steps. Planning ensures opportunities for pupils to develop key skills as well as continuous provision which enables pupils to practice, develop, learn and refine these skills in the absence of an adult.• Small group and intervention is carefully monitored and assessed.• Outside agencies are worked with to support pupil's progress.• Data is well analysed and the class teacher and support staff knows each pupils individual targets, strengths and weaknesses.• Planning for progression happens with groups of children targeted and activities well levelled to support progression.• Parents are invited in regularly to share success and discuss future goals through parent consultations. Parents are also invited into the classroom when they drop their children off and can talk to an adult about any immediate issues. Regular open afternoons and assemblies allow parent to share work and talk with teachers.• Safeguarding is robustly managed by staff and the designated child protection officer. | |
|--|---|--|